

**THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG**  
**Department of Mathematics**  
**MATH 3030 Abstract Algebra 2024-25**  
**Tutorial 4**  
**3rd October 2024**

- Tutorial exercise would be uploaded to blackboard on Mondays provided that there is a tutorial class on that Thursday. You are not required to hand in the solution, but you are advised to try the problems before tutorial classes.
  - Please send an email to [echlam@math.cuhk.edu.hk](mailto:echlam@math.cuhk.edu.hk) if you have any questions.
1. Recall the definition of automorphism group, can you determine the automorphism groups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for prime  $p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ ? (Bonus: How about automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ? Hint: think about linear maps.)
  2. Suppose  $G$  is a finite group and  $T \in \text{Aut}(G)$  so that  $Tg = g \Leftrightarrow g = e$ , prove that every  $h \in G$  can be expressed as  $g^{-1}(Tg)$  for some  $g$ .
  3. Suppose  $G$  is a finite group with  $T \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , so that  $Tg = g \Leftrightarrow g = e$ . Suppose further that  $T^2 = \text{Id}$ , show that  $G$  is abelian.
  4. Find the centers for the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$ , the symmetry group of  $n$ -gon.
  5. We have learnt about direct product of groups in the lectures as group structure defined on a new set  $G_1 \times G_2$ . It is possible to make sense of direct product internally within a group as follows.

Suppose  $G$  is a group satisfying the following,

- (a)  $H, K$  are normal subgroups of  $G$ .
- (b)  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ .
- (c)  $G = HK = \{hk \in G : h \in H, k \in K\}$

Show that  $G \cong H \times K$ .

- If time permits, I will cover the following extra materials in the tutorial. Note that the extra materials would **NOT** appear in the midterm nor exam.

## Notes on semidirect products

In the lectures, we have encountered two notions about constructing groups from smaller pieces. The first is direct product  $H \times K$ , which is formed by imposing no conditions on how elements of  $H, K$  interact. In other words, we set  $hk = kh$  for any  $h, k$ . There is a second notion of a group extension that is more sophisticated. Whenever we have a short exact sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 1$$

i.e. an injective  $\varphi_1 : H \rightarrow G$  and a surjective  $\varphi_2 : G \rightarrow K$  together with the condition that  $\text{im}(\varphi_1) = \ker(\varphi_2)$ . We say that  $G$  is extension of  $K$  by  $H$ . In this case, note that  $H \cong \varphi_1(H) \cong \ker(\varphi_2)$  can be regarded as a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and by isomorphism theorem  $K \cong G/\varphi_1(H)$ . So in the case when  $H, K$  are finite,  $|G| = |H| \cdot |K|$ , and we think of  $H, K$  as building blocks of  $G$ . However, in general there is no good way of writing down the structure of  $G$  based on  $H, K$ .

Semidirect product is a middle ground between direct product and group extension. In Q6 above, one would obtain semidirect product when the condition  $H, K$  are normal subgroups is relaxed to just having  $H$  as a normal subgroup and  $K$  is a general subgroup. We use the notation  $H \rtimes K$  or  $K \rtimes H$  to denote semidirect products (note that this is not symmetric unlike direct product). One simple example is  $G = S_3$ , it is the semidirect product of the subgroups  $H = \langle (123) \rangle$  and  $K = \langle (12) \rangle$ . Notice that  $K$  is not normal here, in particular generally we won't have the property that  $hk = kh$  like we had for direct products. For that reason, in general the isomorphism types of  $H, K$  alone is not enough to determine  $H \rtimes K$ , we need specific details of how they interact within a bigger group  $G$  as in the definition.

There is another way of describing the extra data by using automorphism group, which you can think of as describing the relation  $hkh'k' = h''k''$  in  $G = HK$ . Define  $\varphi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$  by  $k \mapsto \varphi_k(h) = khk^{-1}$ , one can check that this is a homomorphism. With this, we have for any  $h, h' \in H$  and  $k, k' \in K$ ,

$$hkh'k' = h(kh'k^{-1})kk' = h\varphi_k(h')kk' = h''k'',$$

$$\text{and } (hk)^{-1} = (k^{-1}h^{-1} = k^{-1}h^{-1}k)k^{-1} = \varphi_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1})k^{-1} .$$

In other words, we can determine the structure of  $H \rtimes K$  by the data of the map  $K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ . Like the case for direct products, we can define semidirect products of  $H, K$  externally without starting from a bigger group  $G$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $H, K$  be groups and suppose we have a homomorphism  $\varphi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ , then there is a group  $G$  so that we have embeddings  $\iota_1 : H \hookrightarrow G$  and  $\iota_2 : K \hookrightarrow G$  so that  $G \cong \iota_1(H) \rtimes \iota_2(K)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = H \rtimes K$  as sets, we can define the group operation on  $G$  by taking  $(h, k) \cdot (h', k') := (h\varphi_k(h'), kk')$ . Writing 1 as both the identities of  $H$  and  $K$ , we also have  $(1, 1)$  is an identity of  $G$  since  $(1, 1) \cdot (h, k) = (1 \cdot \varphi_1(h), 1 \cdot k) = (h, k)$  and similarly for right identity. We define the inverse of  $(h, k)$  by as  $(h, k)^{-1} = (\varphi_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}), k^{-1})$ . Then  $(h, k)^{-1} \cdot (h, k) =$

$(\varphi_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1})\varphi_{k^{-1}}(h), k^{-1}k) = (\varphi_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}h), 1) = (1, 1)$  so left inverse exists, similarly one can verify for right inverse. I leave the proof of associativity of the group operation to the readers ;)

With  $G$  constructed, we have natural embeddings  $H \rightarrow G$  by  $\iota(h) = (h, 1)$  and  $K \rightarrow G$  by  $\iota_2(k) = (1, k)$ . One can also verify that they are indeed injective homomorphisms (this is not by definition because we have a modified operation on  $H \times K$ !) First of all,  $\iota_1(H) \triangleleft G$  because the second component of  $(h, k) \cdot (x, 1) \cdot (h, k)^{-1}$  is simply given by  $k \cdot 1 \cdot k^{-1} = 1$ . Now  $\iota_1(H) \cap \iota_2(K) = (1, 1)$ , and  $G = HK$  since any  $(h, k) = (h, 1) \cdot (1, k) = (h\varphi_1(1), k)$ . So we indeed have  $G \cong \iota_1(H) \rtimes \iota_2(K)$  as claimed. ■

The notion of semidirect product may look weird at first. One can realize it as a twisted product between two groups. This generalizes direct product since direct product is just given by the trivial map  $K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$  by  $k \mapsto \text{Id}$ . There is yet another way to realize semidirect products as a restricted type of group extensions.

**Theorem.** Suppose  $1 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} K \rightarrow 1$  is an exact sequence that splits, i.e. there exists a homomorphism  $s : K \rightarrow G$  so that  $\psi \circ s = \text{Id} : K \rightarrow K$ . Then  $G = \varphi(H) \rtimes s(K)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that in any short exact sequence,  $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$  is injective, and  $\psi : G \rightarrow K$  is surjective. This forces  $s : K \rightarrow G$  to be injective, since  $s(k) = e \Rightarrow k = \psi(s(k)) = \psi(e) = e$ . To show that  $G$  is the semidirect product of subgroups, we must show that:

1.  $\varphi(H)$  is normal: This is true since  $\varphi(H) = \ker(\psi)$ .
2.  $\varphi(H) \cap s(K) = \{e\}$ : If  $g = \varphi(h) = s(k)$ , then  $e = \psi(\varphi(h)) = \psi(g) = \psi(s(k)) = k$ . Here the first equality is from exactness, and the last equality is from property of  $s$ . Therefore  $g = s(k) = s(e) = e$ .
3.  $G = \varphi(H)s(K)$ , in the finite case, we can simply see this by cardinality argument that  $|G| = |\varphi(H)s(K)| = |\varphi(H)| \cdot |s(K)| = |H| \cdot |K|$ , which is guaranteed by property of exact sequence. In general, given any  $g \in G$ , we can take  $k = \psi(g)$ . Note that  $g \cdot s(k^{-1})$  is in  $\varphi(H) = \ker(\psi)$  since  $\psi(g) \cdot \psi(s(k^{-1})) = kk^{-1} = 1$ . Therefore  $g = gs(k^{-1}) \cdot s(k) \in \varphi(H)s(K)$ .

This proves that  $G = \varphi(H) \rtimes \psi(K)$ . ■

One can also just construct a map  $K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ . To simplify notation, let's not distinguish between  $H \cong \varphi(H)$  as they are isomorphic. Then  $\varphi_k : H \rightarrow H$  is defined simply by  $\varphi_k(h) = s(k) \cdot h \cdot s(k)^{-1}$ . Conversely, one can also construct a split exact sequence whenever we have  $G \cong H \rtimes K$ : as we can take  $H \triangleleft G$ , we have the sequence  $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H \rightarrow 1$ . Here we can identify  $K \cong G/H$  since  $G = HK$  and the right cosets have multiplication given by  $(Hk)(Hk') = H(kk')$  by property of semidirect product. Then the splitting is just given by inclusion  $K \hookrightarrow G$  because  $K \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow G/H$  is given by  $k \mapsto 1 \cdot k \mapsto Hk$ .

We end this section by discussing an example and a non-example of semidirect product. Consider the normal subgroup  $SL(n, F) \leq GL(n, F)$  where  $F$  is an arbitrary field, this is normal as it is obtained from the kernel of the homomorphism  $\det : GL(n, F) \rightarrow F^\times$ , here  $F^\times$

is the multiplicative group of the field. We also have  $F^\times \leq GL(n, F)$  by

$$\iota : a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly,  $\det(\iota(a)) = a \in F^\times$ , therefore  $1 \rightarrow SL(n, F) \hookrightarrow GL(n, F) \xrightarrow{\det} F^\times \rightarrow 1$  is a split exact sequence, thus corresponding to a semidirect product. Alternatively, one can realize this semidirect product via conjugate action of  $\iota(a)$ , in other words  $F^\times \rightarrow \text{Aut}(SL(n, F))$  as given by  $a \mapsto (A \mapsto \iota(a)A\iota(a)^{-1})$ . Hence, we have  $GL(n, F) \cong SL(n, F) \rtimes F^\times$ .

For a non-example (a group extension that is not split), consider

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 1$$

Where the first map is given by  $1 \mapsto p \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  is abelian, if we have a splitting  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ , that would give two subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  that are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which are necessarily normal. This would imply that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ , which is a contradiction.